EXHIBIT 42

January 28, 2013

Paul Clemens Senior Originator / Power Marketer, Pacificorp Energy 201 South Main, Suite 2300 Salt Lake City, Utah 84111

Re: Energy of Utah Long Ridge Wind I and II draft Power Purchase Agreements

Paul,

Please allow me to thank you for your expedited response to our PPA draft request.

The draft PPA attached to your e-mail contained no information relevant to our project, but did contain some information relevant to the Blue Mountain project. As it is the Company's contract, I expected the contract to be prepared and reviewed by the Company, using the information that I have already provided. I am assuming that you want me to delete the Blue Mountain information and fill in the Long Ridge Wind information myself. I hope to have a completed copy with comments back to you by this Friday.

Separately, I was surprised by the mention of a requirement for an LGIA execution *prior* to a PPA execution. As the requirement contradicts our conversation at the Governor's Energy Conference, I expect that its inclusion was not your decision.

I understand that Schedule 38 allows the Company to require the simultaneous execution of PPA and interconnection agreements, but his does not appear to have been the practice for other wind projects in Utah:

Blue Mountain Wind (REDCO)

- PPA execution 11/08/2011
- · LGIA execution none

Pioneer Ridge Wind

- PPA execution 07/14/2006
- LGIA execution 02/08/2008

Spanish Fork Wind

- PPA execution 06/21/2006
- LGIA execution 11/02/2007

These precedents have guided our expectations and our interpretation of Schedule 38 guidelines. As you know, the Company's provided an incorrect indicative price three months behind schedule. Resolution of the pricing issue took an additional four months. Without a correct indicative price, the significant investment required for interconnection studies would have been irrational.

As you know, we commenced the interconnection process soon after receipt of the correct indicated price. If not for the Company's delays, all Long Ridge Wind system integration studies would, by now, have been completed and the NEPA process would have been well underway.

An interconnection agreement would obviously not be executed prior to the expected June 2013 resolution of Docket 12-035-100. The Company's introduction of the interconnection execution requirement circumvents the effect of the Public Service Commission's ruling on the Company's stay request.

I hope that you will ask the Company to retract this requirement. We will not succeed without the Company's cooperation.

Sincerely,